

Overview of TxSTORM

Welcome to TxSTORM, the Texas State Trend Over-Representation Model. This model is sponsored by the Texas Traffic Records Coordination Committee (TRCC) and hosted by the Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI), which is the technical advisor to the TRCC.

Texas is a large state with 254 counties of all sizes and demographics. Determining how to identify trends and allocate limited resources is always a challenge. Traditional methods for resource allocation focus on jurisdictions with highest total of a given variable like crashes or calls for trauma service. While certainly defensible, this method largely ignores smaller jurisdictions where a smaller number of cases could be having a much greater relative impact.

Unveiled for beta testing in August 2021, TxSTORM is intended to be used as a high-level filter to quickly identify trends and indicate where resources may be most urgently needed. To make this happen, TxSTORM is designed to integrate data from one or more critical traffic safety databases to provide a high-level perspective of various traffic-related trends by determining where certain elements are statistically over-represented.

TxSTORM uses aggregated jurisdictional data to blend trend over-representation to "flag" jurisdictions, and then help prioritize those jurisdictions with a Relative Impact Value (RIVAL) score based on projected net change in that variable. Higher RIVAL scores indicate a jurisdiction has a greater need for countermeasures and resources relative to other jurisdiction or the state overall.

Using RIVAL scores allows query results to be plotted on various maps and graphs. However, the data underlying TxSTORM computations are aggregated or "grouped" data. Users who want more granular data need to request the more granular data directly from the agency governing those specific data.

How TxSTORM identifies over-representation

TxSTORM's model is based on comparing current data to three-year baseline averages, both at the jurisdictional and statewide levels. For Fiscal-Year-to-Date (FYTD) comparisons, TxSTORM forecasts the end-of-year value for each variable by averaging the actual FYTD monthly average with the baseline monthly average to fill in remaining months. This method forces a conservative regression toward the baseline for future months remaining in the FY. Each value is recalculated each month as the YTD data is updated. Projected percent-change and projected net change between the forecast YTD total and the baseline total for each variable are calculated for the state and for each jurisdiction. The statewide percent change is subtracted from the jurisdictional percent change. The resulting net percentage is the basis for the flag, with positive results indicating over-representation.

Flags are determined using the following formula:

```
Jurisdiction [YTD total + (Average(YTD total/YTD months, Baseline total/12)) * (12 - YTD months)]/
Baseline total]
```

Statewide [YTD total + (Average(YTD total/YTD months, Baseline total/12)) * (12 - YTD months)]/ Baseline total]

In addition to the jurisdiction flag, this formula is also used to determine flag at the TxDOT district and Texas county levels. The formula is modified accordingly for those levels.

Relative Impact Value (RIVAL) score

Once a jurisdiction is flagged, its RIVAL score is calculated by multiplying the net percentage by the absolute value of the projected net change. The larger the RIVAL score, the greater the relative impact of the activity represented by that variable is at the state and/or jurisdictional levels. RIVAL allows for jurisdictional and/or statewide comparisons on a month-to-month and year-over-year basis. When TxSTORM is used as a guide for the allocation of resources, tracking the change in RIVAL scores makes it possible to track pre- and post-treatment changes.

The RIVAL score is calculated using the following formula:

```
\label{eq:solution} Jurisdiction \ [ABS(YTD\ total + (Average(YTD\ total/YTD\ months, Baseline\ total/12))*(12-YTD\ months) - Baseline\ total)]
```

In addition to the jurisdiction RIVAL score, this formula is also used to calculate RIVAL score at the TxDOT district and Texas county levels. The formula is modified accordingly for those levels.

About the data

Data provided for comparison in TxSTORM comes in an aggregated format devoid of PII (Personal Identification Information), and with a county-level identifier. This allows data to be aggregated and compared by county. Data is largely provided monthly by the agencies governing the various databases, meaning the data is relatively current but the most recent contribution may not be completely up to date. Each contributing database is re-queried each month to ensure, to the extent possible, that the most recent data is included.

About the Texas TRCC

The Texas TRCC receives federal 405(c) funding from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration to improve the state's various traffic records systems' critical databases, including crash, driver, vehicle, roadway, citation and adjudication, and trauma/EMS.

States are also required to show quantifiable progress in improving their traffic records systems according to six specific measures:

- 1. Accuracy,
- 2. Completeness,
- 3. Timeliness,
- 4. Uniformity,
- 5. Accessibility, or
- 6. Integration of a core highway safety database.

Access the TRCC website at <u>https://texastrcc.org</u>.

Accessing and Using TxSTORM

The Texas State Trend Over-Representation Model (TxSTORM) is located on the TEXASTRCC.org website.

1. Click on the link to access the application: <u>https://texastrcc.org/txstorm</u>.



- 2. There are 16 interactive pages in the dashboard that show scores for jurisdictions (cities), counties and TxDOT districts. The following is a list of the pages and shows KA (fatal and suspected serious injury) or KABCO (all severity crashes):
 - KA RIVAL Scores by Jurisdiction for each crash type
 - KABCO RIVAL Scores by Jurisdiction for each crash type
 - KA RIVAL Scores by TxDOT District and County for each crash type
 - KABCO RIVAL Scores by TxDOT District and County for each crash type
 - DUI (Impaired Driving) only RIVAL Scores KA only
 - OP (Occupant Protection/Lack of restraint) only RIVAL Scores KA only
 - SP (Speeding related) only RIVAL Scores KA only
 - ITC (Intersection related) only RIVAL Scores KA only
 - CMV (Large Truck) only RIVAL Scores KA only
 - PED (Pedestrian involved) only RIVAL Scores KA only
 - BIKE (Cyclist involved) only RIVAL Scores KA only
 - CP (Child Passenger 8 years and younger) only RIVAL Scores KA only
 - MC (Motorcycle) only RIVAL Scores KA only
 - MD (Mature Driver) only RIVAL Scores KA only
 - YD (Young Driver) only RIVAL Scores KA only
 - Total RIVAL SCORE KA only

3. Looking at the first page, on the left side of the page you can choose a TxDOT district.

M - KA	County	DUI flag RIVAL Score	OP flag RIVAL Score	SP flag RIVAL Score	ITC flag RIVAL Score	CMV flag RIVAL Score	PED fla
istrict	STATEWIDE	-272.05	253.06	240.88	980.18	230.23	
ne illo	Jurisdiction	DUI flag RIVAL Score	OP flag RIVAL Score	SP flag RIVAL Score	ITC flag RIVAL Score	CMV flag RIVAL Score	PED flag
	DS, Hays	6.80	1.05 ->	0.05 ->	8.25 个	-1.52 🔶	
ont	liamson	6.01	-1.56 👽	-1.98 👽	-2.23 🖤	-1.60 👽	
ood	OUTSIDE CITY LIMITS, Bastrop	3.92 T	-2.91 🖤	-3.55 🖤	17.87	-3.36 🖤	
c .	BUDA, Hays	2.00 ->	0.07	-1./5 🖤	-1./3 🖤	1.15	
hristi	MUSTANC DIDCE Travis	1.51	0.07 ala	0.04 alla	0.72	-1.15	
	NIEDERWALD, Have	151	1 35	1.62 ale	1.05 alle	1 15 4	
	CREEDMOOD Travis	1.00	alle 0.0	0.00 %	0.00	1 15	
	GIDDINGS Lee	1.00	-1 11 -	-0.94	-1 64 1	-1.15	
	NIEDERWALD Caldwell	1.00	1.00	1.38 ->	-1.19	-1.15	
	ANDICE Williamson	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	ANTON, Travis	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	AUSTIN, Havs	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹	0.00 🕹	0.00 🕹	
	BALCONES HEIGHTS, Travis	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	
	BARTLETT, Williamson	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	
	BEAR CREEK, Hays	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	
	BERTRAM, Burnet	0.00 🔸	1.00 🔶	1.00 🔶	-1.05 🔸	0.00 🔸	
	BLUFFTON, Llano	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	
	BRIARCLIFF, Travis	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	
	BRIGGS, Burnet	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	
\sim	BUCHANAN DAM, Llano	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	
	Selected Area	-29.13	27.50	40.92	43.49	-4.33	

11

I™≥ 2X State Average ↑> State Average →≤ State Average ↓≤ Zero

4. Below the list of districts is a dropdown box that allows you to select individual counties within the selected districts. You can select multiple options by holding down the CTRL key while clicking additional categories.

DRM - KA	County	DUI flag RIVAL Score	OP flag RIVAL Score	SP flag RIVAL Score	ITC flag RIVAL Score	CMV flag RIVAL Score
T District elect all	TATEWIDE	-272.05	253.06	240.88	980.18	230.23
ustin Ji	urisdiction	DUI flag RIVAL Score OF	P flag RIVAL Score SP	flag RIVAL Score ITC	flag RIVAL Score CN	VV flag RIVAL Score PED
C	OUTSIDE CITY LIMITS, Bastrop	3.92 个	-2.91 😾	-3.55 🔸	17.87 🏴	-3.36 🕹
C	EDAR CREEK, Bastrop	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹	0.00 🕹
0	DALE, Bastrop	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹	0.00 🕹
D	DEL VALLE, Bastrop	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹
N	ICDADE, Bastrop	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹	0.00 🕹
P	AIGE, Bastrop	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹
R	ED ROCK, Bastrop	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹
R	OSANKY, Bastrop	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹
S	MITHVILLE, Bastrop	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸
В	ASTROP, Bastrop	-0.95 😾	-0.97 🔸	-1.61 👽	-2.13 😾	-1.15 😾
E	LGIN, Bastrop	-0.95 🔸	-0.97 🔸	-1.53 🔸	-1.72 🖖	1.00 🔶
lastrop Ilanco Jumet Saldwell Jillespie Jano Yason Tavis	elected Area	2.64	-2.77	-4.09	15.69	-3.57

5. Below the County Box you will see the number of months, from the current fiscal year, being used to calculate the scores. In this example, it is **11 months** from the beginning of the fiscal year.

Travis				
Bastrop ^				
Number of FY Months				
11	Carl State Average ≥ 2X State Average	State Average	⇒ ≤ State Average	↓ ≤ Zero

6. As you make selections the RIVAL scores will update based on the selections. If you would like to clear a selection, you can either unselect your choices, or use the clear selection icon. The icon will pop-up when your mouse hovers over the filter box.



- 8. For each location in the table you will see several columns of scores. The following is the list of crash types:
 - DUI Impaired driving crashes

7.

• OP – Occupant protection crashes (lack of seatbelt use)

- SP Speed related crashes
- ITC Intersection related crashes
- CMV Large truck crashes
- PED Pedestrian involved crashes
- BIKE Cyclist involved)
- CP Child Passenger 8 years and younger
- MC Motorcycle
- MD Mature driver
- YD Young driver
- Total All crashes
- 9. When you hover your mouse over a column name you will see a black down arrow that allows you to sort the table by that column from largest to smallest or the reverse.

County VAL Score CMV flag RIVAL Score PED flag RIVAL Score Bike flag RIVAL Score CP flag RIVAL Score MC flag RIVAL Score TXDOT District Select all 980.18 230.23 136.95 63.36 88.95 Abilene Jurisdiction RIVAL Score PED flag RIVAL Score Bike flag RIVAL Score CP flag RIVAL Score MC flag RIVAL Score Autin Autinia SAN MARCOS, Hays 8.25 -1.3 1.06 -0.95 0.82 - Autin SAN MARCOS, Hays -2.23 -1.60 -1.10 -1.18 -1.63 - Brownwood Brownwood BUDA, Hays -1.73 1.31 -1.10 -0.95 6.49 - Corpus Christi MARBLE FALLS, Burnet 18.84 -1.15 -1.10 0.00 1.36 - Dallas NUEPERWALD Havs -1.05 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.36 -	Latest (FY 2	2023)	Instruc	tions					
Abilene Jurisdiction RIVAL Score PED flag RIVAL Score Bike flag RIVAL Score CP flag RIVAL Score MC flag RIVAL Score Amarillo Atlanta SAN MARCOS, Hays 8.25 -1.3 1.06 -0.95 0.82 Austin TAYLOR, Williamson -2.23 -1.60 -1.10 -1.18 -1.63 Brownwood OUTSIDE CITY LIMITS, Bastrop 17.87 -3.36 8.60 -1.05 6.49 Bryan BUDA, Hays -1.73 1.31 -1.10 -1.18 -0.96 Childress MARBLE FALLS, Burnet 18.84 -1.15 -1.10 0.00 1.36 Dallas MUETARWAID Havs -1.15 -1.15 0.00 0.00 1.36	TxSTORM - KA Jurisdiction TxDOT District B Select all	County STATEWIDE		VAL Score 980.18	CMV flag RIVAL Score 230.23	PED flag RIVAL Score 136.95	Bike flag RIVAL Score 63.36	CP flag RIVAL Score 88.95	MC flag RIVAL Scor
Atlanta SAN MARCOS, Hays 8.25 ↑ -1.1 ↓ 1.06 → -0.95 ↓ 0.82 → Austin TAYLOR, Williamson -2.23 ↓ -1.60 ↓ -1.10 ↓ -1.18 ↓ -1.63 ↓ Beaumont OUTSIDE CITY LIMITS, Bastrop 17.87 ↓ -3.36 ↓ 8.60 ↓ -1.05 ↓ 6.49 ↑ Bryan BUDA, Hays -1.73 ↓ 1.31 → -1.10 ↓ -1.18 ↓ -0.96 ↓ Childress MARBLE FALLS, Burnet 18.84 ↓ -1.15 ↓ -1.10 ↓ 0.00 ↓ 1.36 → Datas MUSTANG RIDGE, Travis 0.73 → -1.15 ↓ 0.00 ↓ 0.00 ↓ 1.36 →	Abilene Amarillo	Jurisdiction		RIVAL Score		PED flag RIVAL Score	Bike flag RIVAL Score	CP flag RIVAL Score	MC flag RIVAL Sc
	Atlanta Austin Beaumont Brownwood Bryan Childress Corpus Christi Dallas FI Dasn	SAN MARCO TAYLOR, Will OUTSIDE CIT BUDA, Hays MARBLE FAL MUSTANG R NIEDERWALI	S, Hays iamson Y LIMITS, Bastrop LS, Burnet IDGE, Travis D, Hays	8.25 -2.23 17.87 -1.73 18.84 0.73 -1.05	-1.2 -1.60 -3.36 -1.15 -1.15 -1.15 -1.15	1.06 -> -1.10 - 8.60 - -1.10 - -1.10 - 0.00 -	-0.95 -1.18 -1.05 -1.18 0.00 0.00	0.82 → -1.63 ↓ -0.96 ↓ 1.36 → 0.00 ↓ 1.36 →	4.52 -1.58 -2.24 -1.00 1.32 -1.13 -1.13

10. At the bottom of the screen are the navigation buttons to move through the other pages in the dashboard. **The Pedestrian Involved KA information is in Page 10.**

Number of FY Months	Sciected Area	45.45	4.55	11.00	TILL	12.70	
All 🗸	BUCHANAN DAM, Liano	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
County	BRIGGS, Burnet	0.00	0.00	0.00 🔸	0.00	0.00 🔸	0.00
Voakum	BRIARCLIFF, Travis	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹	0.00

¹¹

► 2X State Average State Average State Average State Average



Latest (FY 2023)



Practice #1

- 1. Open the web page with the TxSTORM dashboard. <u>https://texastrcc.org/txstorm</u>
- 2. On page 1 of 16, select Waco from the list of districts on the left side of the screen.
- 3. Use the slider at the bottom of the large table to make the PED flag RIVAL Score visible.

STORM - KA	County	re	ITC flag RIVAL Score	CMV flag RIVAL Score	PED flag RIVAL Score	Bike flag RIVAL Score	CP flag RIVAL Score	MC
isdiction =	STATEWIDE	88	980.18	230.23	136.95	63.36	88.95	
Select all		_						
Abilene	lu via di ati a a		ITC fire DIVAL Come	CMV flag DIVAL Second	DED fine DIVAL Come	Biles fire DIVAL Corre		Le
Amarillo	Jurisdiction	ore	TIC flag RIVAL Score	CIMV flag RIVAL Score	PED flag RIVAL Score	BIKE TIAG RIVAL SCORE	CP flag RIVAL : -	Ь
Atlanta	HEWITT, McLennan	→	-1.05 🔸	-1.15 🗸	1.35 🔶	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	•
Austin Reaumont	WOODWAY, McLennan	1	5.77 🛧	1.00 🔶	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	-1.10 🗸	•
Brownwood	BRUCEVILLE-EDDY, McLennan	→	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	,
Bryan	COVINGTON, Hill	4	-1.19 🔸	-1.15 🗸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	-1.10 🗸	•
Childress	HAMILTON (HAMILTON), Hamilton	• ↓	1.00 🔶	1.00 🔶	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🚽	•
Corpus Christi	BELTON, Bell	1	-2.13 🔸	-1.69 👽	-2.05 🔸	-1.18 🔸	1.36 🔶	•
FL Paso	ABBOTT, Hill	1	0.00 🔸	5.81 🕎	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🚽	•
Ft. Worth	AQUILLA, HIII	4	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	•
Houston	AXTELL, McLennan	1	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🚽	•
Laredo	BARTLETT, Bell	4	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🚽	,
Lubbock	BEVERLY HILLS, McLennan	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	,
Odessa	BLUM, Hill	4	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	,
Paris	BYNUM, Hill	≁	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	,
Pharr	CARL'S CORNER, HIII	4	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	-1.10 🗸	,
San Angelo	CARLTON, Hamilton	1	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🍁	0.00 🗸	,
San Antonio	CHILTON, Falls	4	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	,
Waco	PRING, McLennan	\mathbf{A}	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	,
Wichita Fa	Controla, Bosque	4	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	,
Yoakum	COOLIDGE, Limestone	1	0.00 🔸	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	,
intv	COPPERAS COVE, Bell	4	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🚽	,
	CRANFILLS GAP, Bosque	1	0.00 🕹	0.00 🕹	0.00 🕹	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	,
~	Selected Area	.32	-13.85	-7.91	-8.17	-2.03	1.03	3

 • — + 67%
 □

 Microsoft Power BI
 1 of 16 >
 Image: Comparison of the second second

4. Sort the PED column from greatest to least. Harker Heights in Bell County should be at the top of the list.

Latest (FY 2023) Instructions

11	County	re	ITC flag RIVAL Score	CMV flag RIVAL Score	PED flag RIVAL Score	Bike flag RIVAL Score	CP flag RIVAL Score	М
	STATEWIDE	88	980.18	230.23	136.95	63.36	88.95	
ŀ	Jurisdiction	ore	ITC flag RIVAL Score	CMV flag RIVAL Score	PED flag RIVAL Score	Bike flag RIVAL Score	CP flag RIVAL ! =	:
	HARKER HEIGHTS, Bell	1	-2.13 👽	0.00 🗸	5.86		-1.10	6
l	HEWITT, McLennan	\rightarrow	-1.05 👽	-1.15 🗸	1.35 🔶	0.00 🐠	0.00	1
l	OUTSIDE CITY LIMITS, Coryell	4	0.73 🔶	5.81 个	1.35 🔶	-1.18 🗸	5.87	
	ABBOTT, Hill	4	0.00 🔸	5.81 🕎	0.00 🗸	0.00 🚽	0.00	
	AQUILLA, HIII	↓	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	• 0.00 🕹	0.00 🚽	0.00	
	AXTELL, McLennan	4	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🚽	0.00	6
l	BARTLETT, Bell	\downarrow	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	• 0.00 🔸	0.00 🚽	0.00	
l	BEVERLY HILLS, McLennan	4	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	0.00	1
l	BLUM, Hill	\downarrow	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	• 0.00 🕹	0.00 🚽	0.00	
I	BRUCEVILLE-EDDY, McLennan	→	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	• 0.00 🔸	0.00 🚽	0.00	0
l	BYNUM, Hill	\downarrow	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	0.00 🗸	0.00	6
l	CARL'S CORNER, Hill	↓	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	• 0.00 🔸	0.00 🚽	-1.10	1
l	CARLTON, Hamilton	\downarrow	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	0.00 😼	0.00	
l	CHILTON, Falls	↓	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🚽	0.00	
	CHINA SPRING, McLennan	\downarrow	• 0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🚽	0.00	
l	CLIFTON, Bosque	4	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	0.00 🔸	0.00	6
l	COOLIDGE, Limestone	\downarrow	• 0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00	
l	COPPERAS COVE, Bell	↓	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	0.00 🚽	0.00	1
l	COVINGTON, Hill	4	-1.19 🔸	-1.15 🚽	0.00 🔸	0.00 🚽	-1.10	
	CRANFILLS GAP, Bosque	1	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🚽	0.00	1
	CRAWFORD, McLennan	\downarrow	0.00 🔸	0.00 🔸	0.00 🗸	0.00 🚽	0.00	
1	Selected Area	.32	-13.85	-7.91	-8.17	-2.03	3 1.0	3

11

5. Using the navigation arrows at the bottom of the screen go to the 10th page of the dashboard. You should see a page dedicated to pedestrian only KA crashes. Here you can see that Harker Heights has had three times as many crashes as compared to the last three years average. The bar chart in the bottom left corner shows pedestrian RIVAL scores by counties in the district.

trian Involved KA	County	Avg PED Baseline	YTD PED Crashes	PED flag RIVAL Score	Ped flag RIVAL Score by County
District ect all	STATEWIDE	2029	1984	136.95	
ene arillo	Jurisdiction	Avg PED Baseline	YTD PED Crashes	PED flag RIVAL Score	
inta	HARKER HEIGHTS, Bell	1	3	5.86 🏴	
tin	HEWITT, McLennan	1	2	1.35 🔶	
umont wowood	OUTSIDE CITY LIMITS, Coryell	1	2	1.35 🔶	
n	ABBOTT, HIII	0	0	0.00 🔸	
lress	AQUILLA, HIII	0	0	0.00 🔸	
us Christi	AXTELL, McLennan	0	0	0.00 🔸	
5	BARTLETT, Bell	0	0	0.00 🔸	2
orth	BEVERLY HILLS, McLennan	0	0	0.00 🔸	
ton	BLUM, Hill	0	0	0.00 🔸	
0	BRUCEVILLE-EDDY, McLennan	0	0	0.00 🔸	3 / 3
ock	BYNUM, Hill	0	0	0.00 🔸	
1	CARL'S CORNER, HIII	0	0	0.00 🔸	PED flag RIVAL Score by County
20	CARLTON, Hamilton	0	0	0.00 🔸	r Eb kag kinke beore by obaiky
	CHILTON, Falls	0	0	0.00 🔸	Bosque
ngelo	CHINA SPRING, McLennan	0	0	0.00 🔸	Unmilton
ntonio	CLIFTON, Bosque	0	0	0.00 🔸	e man constant
	COOLIDGE, Limestone	0	0	0.00 🔸	Bell
ta Falls	COPPERAS COVE, Bell	0	0	0.00 🔸	₹ Coryell
ım	COVINGTON, Hill	0	0	0.00 🔸	0- Hill
	CRANFILLS GAP, Bosque	0	0	0.00 🔸	- (imatum
	CRAWFORD, McLennan	0	0	0.00 🔸	Linesche -1,
\sim	Selected Area	62	40	-8 17	Falls -1.64

Practice #2

- Use the navigation arrows at the bottom of the dashboard to get to the 3rd page of the screen, KA RIVAL Scores State District County.
- 2. Clear the TxDOT District filter on the left side of the screen.
- 3. Sort the middle table by the PED flag RIVAL Score from greatest to least. The Houston District should be at the top of the list.
- 4. Select Houston from the TxDOT filter on the left side of the screen.
- 5. Sort the bottom table by the PED flag RIVAL Score.



6. Navigate back to Page 10 of the dashboard. The Houston District should already be selected. The City of Houston, with the second highest RIVAL score, has a lot of pedestrian crashes, as expected, but less than the previous three-year average.

Latest (FY 2023)

Pedestrian Involved KA	County	Avg PED Baseline	YTD PED Crashes	PED flag RIVAL Score	Ped flag RIVAL Score by County
TxDOT District Select all	STATEWIDE	2029	1984	136.95	35 37
Abilene	Jurisdiction	Avg PED Baseline	YTD PED Crashes	PED flag RIVAL Score	
Atlanta	OUTSIDE CITY LIMITS, Harris	120	144	45.01 🏴	
Austin	HOUSTON, Harris	287	281	18.89 🏴	
Brownwood	PEARLAND, Brazoria	2	5	7.73 🏴	
Bryan	SUGAR LAND, Fort Bend	2	5	7.73 🏴	
Childress	MISSOURI CITY, Fort Bend	3	6	6.18 🏴	
Corpus Christi	BAYTOWN, Harris	5	8	5.06 个	
Dallas	BELLAIRE, Harris	1	2	1.35 🔶	Source States
El Paso	HOUSTON, Fort Bend	1	2	1.35 🔶	A Trans
Houston	JACINTO CITY, Harris	1	2	1.35 🔶	$\langle \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$
Laredo	LEAGUE CITY, Galveston	1	2	1.35 🔶	
Lubbock	SOUTH HOUSTON, Harris	1	2	1.35 🔶	E - implement
Lufkin Odessa Paris	CUT AND SHOOT, Montgomery	0	1	1.00 🔶	PED flag RIVAL Score by County
Pharr	IOWA COLONY, Brazoria	0	1	1.00 🔶	
San Angelo	KEMAH, Galveston	0	1	1.00 🔶	Harris 68.45
San Antonio	WEST COLUMBIA, Brazoria	0	1	1.00 ->	Brazoria 2.83
Tyler	WILLIS, Montgomery	0	1	1.00 🔶	
Waco Wichita Falls	ANGLETON, Brazoria	2	3	0.90 🔶	≩ Fort Bend 0.92
Voakum	HUMBLE, Harris	2	3	0.90 🔶	
County	WEBSTER, Harris	2	3	0.90 🔶	Waller -1.64
County	ALDINE, Harris	0	0	0.00 🔸	Montgomery -1.91
All Vumber of FY Months	Selected Area	521	539	71.06	Galveston -2.91
11 -	≥ 2X State Average	State Average	⇒ ≤ State A	verage ↓≤ Zero	0 50 PED flag RIVAL Score

7. The areas around the City of Houston in Harris County, Baytown, Bellaire, Jacinto City, South Houston, Humble and Webster are all showing current year numbers that are above the jurisdiction's three-year average.

